

Applicant : Chad Nelson et al.
Serial No. : 10/039,982
Filed : October 19, 2001
Page : 4 of 5

Attorney's Docket No.: 12477-009001

REMARKS

This reply is in response to the Final Office Action dated July 23, 2005. Claims 1-26 are pending in this application. Claims 1-20 are canceled. Claim 21 has been amended to correct an informality in the claim, which is not believe to alter the scope of the claim. No new matter has been added. The Examiner has indicated claims 21-26 are allowable.

Allowed Claims

The Applicants thank the Examiner for allowing claims 21-26. The Applicants have amended independent claim 21 to correct an informality in the claim. The applicants do not believe the amendment alters the scope of the claim. No new matter has been added.

Canceled Claims

Previously withdrawn claims 1-12 have been canceled in compliance with MPEP 821.01. Claims 13-20 have been canceled, which renders moot the objections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 to the claims.

The Applicants hereby specifically reserves the right to prosecute claims of different or broader scope in a continuation or divisional application. Applicant notes that any claim amendments made herein are made solely for the purposes of more clearly and particularly describing and claiming the invention, and not for purposes of overcoming art or for patentability or narrowing the claims. The Examiner should infer no (i) adoption of a position with respect to patentability, (ii) change in the Applicant's position with respect to any claim or subject matter of the invention, or (iii) acquiescence in any way to any position taken by the Examiner in the Examiner's statement of reasons of allowance or elsewhere, based on such amendments. It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that

Applicant : Chad Nelson et al.
Serial No. : 10/039,982
Filed : October 19, 2001
Page : 5 of 5

Attorney's Docket No.: 12477-009001

rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

No fees are believed to be due. Please apply, however, any charges or credits that may be due to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

10/28/05



Greg H. Gardella
Reg. No. 46,045

Fish & Richardson P.C., P.A.
60 South Sixth Street
Suite 3300
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 335-5070
Facsimile: (612) 288-9696

60309764.doc